

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/767,471		<b>Applicant(s)</b> CARGILL ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b> STEPHEN KAPUSHOC		<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) STEPHEN KAPUSHOC

(2) Justin Karjala

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**  
**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*the rejections under 35 USC 112 as set forth in the Office Action of 06/26/2009*

**Claims discussed:**  
*44, 66, 67, 70, 73 and 94-108*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3pm

  

**Part II.**  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner's Amendments to the claims of 09/28/2009 were proposed, discussed, and agreed to for allowance of the claims.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Stephen Kapushoc/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)